Elder Law
2025, VOL. 9, NO. 2, 14-17
DOI: 10.47297/wspelWSP2515-824403.20250902

Technical Considerations and Practical Implementation for Designing Rehabilitation-Oriented Environments for Community-Dwelling Elderly with Disabilities

Zhenning Wang, Hanhan Zhou*

[1]College of Tourism & Landscape Architecture, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, Guangxi, 541004, China

[2]Institute of Guangxi Tourism Industry, Guilin, Guangxi, 541004, China

ABSTRACT
Against national strategies to actively address population ageing, community spaces serve as the core setting for rehabilitating elderly individuals with disabilities. The quality of their physical environment has become a critical factor influencing this group's dignity and quality of life. This study focuses on designing rehabilitation environments for disabled community populations, integrating key technical nodes and practical breakthrough directions. First, it deconstructs the spectrum of physical and mental functional characteristics and environmental needs of disabled individuals to establish principles for designing rehabilitation scenarios. Subsequently, it diagnoses the entrenched issues in existing community environments, including conceptual misalignment, facility deficiencies, and operational disconnects. An integrated design framework is proposed to address these challenges: fusing multimodal spatial solutions to facilitate technological-functional transformation and establishing a stakeholder collaboration network. Arguments demonstrate that a community environment integrating safety, accessibility, supportive interaction, and healing experiences is the ultimate humanistic fulcrum for sustaining the physical rehabilitation and social connection of elderly individuals with disabilities.

KEYWORDS
Elderly with disabilities; Rehabilitative environment; Community environment design

1 Introduction

Human civilization faces a fundamental shift in demographic structure. The compound challenges of ageing populations, chronic diseases, and functional impairments exert sustained pressure on traditional caregiving models and social security systems. Within this context, the steadily growing cohort of elderly individuals with diminished functional capacity due to ageing or pathology has become a critical governance issue. The living conditions of this group reflect societal progress while setting the baseline for social governance effectiveness. Centralized care institutions face increasingly limited applicability due to inherent drawbacks such as high economic costs, social isolation, and diminished autonomy.

In contrast, the community-based "ageing in place" approach—rooted in local environments—preserves traditional filial piety while aligning with societal resource endowments, emerging as a more adaptable policy option. However, the success of this model depends not only on the scale of home-based service provision but critically on whether the physical community space can effectively support both daily living and the dual goal of rebuilding physical capacity. Current urban planning and geriatric research indicate that the value focus is shifting from the primary dimension of survival security to the deeper demand for preserving the dignity of life.

2 Theoretical Foundations of Rehabilitative Environmental Design

2.1 Physical and Mental Characteristics and Environmental Needs of Community-Dwelling Elderly with Disabilities

The community-dwelling elderly with disabilities exhibit significant diversity, with varying degrees of physical impairment ranging from requiring walkers for mobility to being completely bedridden. Despite individual health variations, they share common patterns in fundamental physical and mental functions. Physiologically, they often exhibit diminished motor coordination—such as difficulties with walking balance, weakened muscle strength, slowed sensory information processing, and accumulated fall risks due to multiple health conditions. Psychologically, they frequently experience identity dissonance accompanied by anxiety and social withdrawal tendencies, leading to passive isolation as a response to the conflict between social engagement desires and physical limitations. This unique condition generates specific expectations for the surrounding environment. Core demands can be concretized as requirements for

* **Corresponding Author:** Hanhan Zhou, 15296282133@163.com

fundamental safety needs, comprehensive accessibility conditions, physical function support, and mood-enhancing effects. This necessitates minimizing potential environmental hazards, establishing support facilities that require no adaptation, creating spatial cues that facilitate the restoration of activity capabilities, and providing scenario platforms with natural elements to elevate emotional states. It also involves fostering mechanisms that generate spaces conducive to spontaneous social interactions.

2.2 Principles and Objectives of Rehabilitative Environmental Design

Rehabilitative environmental design is grounded in the fundamental principle of "capacity support," transforming architectural spaces into functional devices that assist health. This philosophy integrates insights from human-environment interaction research and medical functional rehabilitation practices to create living support spaces that compensate for functional deficits. Community planning prioritises achieving the following synergistic objectives: constructing safe, barrier-free daily activity scenarios through foundational safety response systems; embedding specialised support equipment that aids functional maintenance; and cultivating atmospheres that stimulate autonomous activity. Specific design guidelines include: - Proactive identification of all facility hazards - Establishment of proactive safety prevention systems - Rigorous enforcement of comprehensive accessibility standards - Evidence-based optimization of design solutions - Full incorporation of on-site feedback from actual users Essentially transcending traditional accessibility standards, this approach ultimately creates living space architectures featuring: - Safe activity pathways - Continuous functional maintenance support - Natural promotion of community integration [1].

2.3 The Value of Rehabilitative Environments for Elderly Individuals with Disabilities

The construction and ongoing maintenance of rehabilitative environments constitute a systematic endeavour, yet current practices often lack multi-stakeholder coordination and precise accountability mechanisms. During the construction phase, community environment modifications frequently involve complex stakeholder negotiations—such as coordinating elevator installations across different floor residents. Without robust negotiation platforms, projects easily stall. Maintenance-phase challenges are even more severe. Accessibility facilities and rehabilitation equipment require regular upkeep, yet responsibility for funding and management remains unclear in many communities. Due to undefined accountability and funding sources, numerous facilities deteriorate or are misappropriated shortly after installation, rendering their rehabilitative functions obsolete. This widespread tendency to prioritize construction over maintenance prevents the establishment of a sustainable, positive cycle for rehabilitative environment development.

3 Practical Challenges in Community Rehabilitation Environment Design

3.1 Design Philosophy Lags Behind Functional Demand Iteration

In current multi-domain social work community space improvement projects, design thinking remains confined mainly to meeting statutory accessibility standards, failing to achieve a conceptual leap toward enabling rehabilitation efficacy. This stagnation results in solutions offering only partial relief without driving substantive functional transformation. Traditional accessibility ensures spatial passability—the feasibility of biological subjects moving through space. Thus, modifications primarily involve adding gentle ramps and installing balance-assisted lifting devices. In contrast, the core goal of rehabilitation systems is to stimulate sustained physical activity for functional restoration. This requires not only verifying whether wheelchairs can traverse passageways but also deeply assessing the safety and comfort levels during movement. Crucially, it demands exploring mechanisms that transform daily mobility into potential rehabilitation training scripts. For instance, ramp construction often adheres strictly to legal minimum slope angle requirements while neglecting systematic deficiencies: controlling the physical friction coefficient of standing support surfaces, evolving skeletal compatibility with grip characteristics, and designing compensation zones for sustained force depletion during movement. Such technical gaps directly cause elderly individuals to experience severe psychological fear and physiological injury risks even within accessible areas [2].

3.2 Imbalanced Facility Spatial Distribution and Missing Interaction Adaptation

Community rehabilitation resources exhibit fragmented service coverage, disordered topological positioning, and human-centred interaction deficiencies. In ageing urban residential units, the coverage rate of guidance devices suitable for older people rarely meets basic service standards. Even in some newly built clustered living communities, limited relevant equipment is concentrated in core feature areas to circumvent inspection and certification processes, failing to establish a complete micro-context chain transitioning from boundary entry points to primary activity spaces. This

fragmentation of functional nodes implies hidden resource losses within the physical service system. Simultaneously, solutions reveal more profound experiential contradictions: even when primary components are precisely positioned, design approaches may overlook the genuine pain points of individuals with functional limitations. Take shared rest facilities as an example: the ratio of vertical platform height to horizontal extension, combined with the orientation of handrails, collectively determines whether individuals with weakened leg muscles can independently transition between postures. The macro-dimensional configuration of public sanitation spaces must accommodate the circular trajectories of assistive mobility units, while the rational installation parameters of critical connecting components reflect the hierarchical scientific elements inherent in design. Yet most physical spaces are constructed using standardized data templates for mass-produced products. This highly simplified paradigm obscures a catalogue of potential losses in mass-adaptability for populations at different stages of height and spinal curvature variation [3].

3.3 Absence of Multi-Actor Governance Systems and Disrupted Practice Chains

Rehabilitation-oriented scenario operations require stable, sustainable management structures for assurance. Yet current regional governance units commonly face crises of inaction in coordinating diverse stakeholders and vacuums in policy implementation. During project initiation, environmental restructuring inevitably disrupts existing spatial equity hierarchies, triggering friction in resource redistribution. Take vertical transportation retrofits as an example: disputes over sunlight exposure loss among lower-level residents and the practical space trade-offs in high-floor lobbies lead to a proliferation of harmful feedback mechanisms in the free market of opinions. The disappearance of structural agreement platforms plunges all negotiations into a fragmented crisis. Later, deficiencies in routine maintenance exposed greater bottleneck crises. Support systems and rehabilitation equipment meeting safety standards require immediate professional maintenance actions and high-frequency tracking. However, ambiguous responsibility for sustained operational resource investment creates chaotic on-site distribution logic for funding channels. The root problem lies in the disappearance of a legal basis for maintenance personnel's identity attributes, gradually transforming precision equipment into systems that lose standard functionality.

4 Optimisation Strategies for Rehabilitation-Oriented Environmental Design for Community-Dwelling Elderly with Disabilities

4.1 Establishing a Diversified Environmental Design System

A comprehensive living support system encompassing four pillars—access safety, exercise assistance, natural regulation, and social participation—must be established to address shortcomings in traditional barrier-free design. The primary solution involves implementing centralised ground levelling projects to correct widespread subsidence issues across the community, utilising specialised anti-slip materials to ensure reliable performance during rainy weather. Install dual-height parallel handrails along all pathways to accommodate diverse postures; supplement dimly lit intervals with long-lasting energy-efficient bulbs to eliminate visual blind spots; configure rehabilitation routes with multi-grade slope exercise paths to diversify functional stimulation; position adjustable planting platforms along greenbelt edges for wheelchair users to engage in basic gardening; promote mixed planting of aromatic plants like mint and lemongrass to maintain olfactory richness and reduce monotony; Install misting systems along public pool edges to mitigate summer heat stress; Equip key transition zones with sun/rain canopies and modular seating clusters for temporary gatherings; Arrange continuous seating near building entrances to naturally foster informal community interactions, driving non-prescriptive social engagement [4].

4.2 Strengthening Technology Integration

Promote deep adaptation of technical products to maintenance processes with a utility-first approach. Install waterproof disaster warning light poles at fixed intervals along main pathways to cover visual blind spots. Equip independent living spaces with real-time gas leak detectors and infrared fall detection alarms for dual-intervention coordination. Pilot sound-based navigation devices in special zones to provide passive guidance for disoriented individuals. At the community level, operationalize physical mutual-aid service stations with coordinators to address specific needs like medical care and meal assistance. Distribute easy-to-understand printed guides for rehabilitation and self-care, categorizing home maintenance measures by scenario. Extend the delay time for energy-saving stairwell light switches to prevent tripping hazards caused by slowed movement. Assign responsibility for minor maintenance tasks like weeding flower beds to individuals with mild disabilities, fostering a sense of purpose through participation. Establish monthly in-home safety assessments through resident consensus to routinely verify equipment functionality, laying a

credible foundation for universal application [5].

4.3 Refining Multi-Party Collaborative Implementation Mechanisms

Defining clear responsibility handover points throughout the process is vital for sustaining effective outcomes: Administrative bodies should compile mandatory aging-in-place renovation project lists for old residential communities, establishing implementation priorities; Resident representative groups must regularly organize monthly feedback meetings to bridge communication gaps with vulnerable groups; Upon completion, a facility reliability verification team comprising medical professionals and industry technicians must issue technical approval documents; Establish a cost-sharing model for routine maintenance by allocating general repair reserves from public reserve funds. Encourage skilled senior residents to form community volunteer maintenance stations, committing to quarterly scheduled service periods focused on equipment operation and debugging. The fundamental solution lies in securing residents' joint signatures on maintenance commitment agreements, ensuring compensation for damage and facilitating the seamless establishment of a multidimensional, organic operational community system.

5 Conclusion

Developing community-based rehabilitative environments is a core response strategy to the ageing demographic wave, providing foundational infrastructure for achieving healthy ageing and in-place care objectives. Such design practices transcend the constraints of high-standard physical accessibility norms to advance toward a systemic level of rehabilitation empowerment. At its core, this approach reconfigures spatial material carriers into proactive intervention elements that stimulate the physical and mental capacity reconstruction of individuals with disabilities. Current practices reveal multi-level challenges, including phased disconnects in design strategies, imbalances in equipment networks, and insufficient integration of operational systems. These very challenges provide clear focal points for future innovation.

About the Author

Zhenning Wang, Class of 2022 undergraduate student, Research Focus: Landscape Architecture.2;Hanhan Zhou, Master, Lecturer, Research Focus: Landscape Architecture Planning, Design, and Theory.

References

[1] Sun Mingge. Environmental and Spatial Design Research for Northern Medical and Rehabilitation Care Facilities in the Context of Social Ageing [D]. Jilin University of Architecture, 2024.

[2] Lü Fei, Jia Biyang, Wei Xiaofang. Cognitive Research on Residential Environments for Elderly Individuals with Mild Cognitive Impairment: Using Cognitive Maps as a Medium [J]. Architectural Journal of China, 2025, (05): 100-107.

[3] Qiu Zhi, Jin Bo, Shen Lingyi, et al. Characteristics of "Positive Behaviours" Among Dementia Patients in Mixed Care Zones of Elderly Care Institutions and Their Environmental Support Systems [J]. Architectural Journal of China, 2024,(S1):198-203.

[4] Yu Haijun. Binzhou, Shandong, Advances Ageing-Friendly Renovations to Enhance Rural Home-Based Elderly Care, Enabling Seniors to Enjoy Their Later Years in Familiar Environments [J]. People's Livelihood Weekly, 2025, (03): 65-67.

[5] Ma Hui, Jiu Yang. Environmental Design Research for Nursing Homes for Seniors with Dementia Based on Service Design Thinking [J]. Industrial Design, 2024, (06): 67-70.